Academic Portfolio Review and Integration
Proposed Process with Feedback Loops

Start
CAOs identify next area with input from APRIP Oversight Committee (OC) based on CAO criteria

CAOs create subteams with APRIP OC input; develop/approve charge and timeline

Subteam solicits input, collects data, does analysis and prepares interim deliverable: opportunity statement, data analysis, proposals and recommendations to increase access, improve quality, address financial sustainability

Feedback loop between relevant academic stakeholders not on subteam and subteam

To Chancellor

Revised deliverable and recommendations vetted by CAOs and APRIP OC

Subteam presents revised interim deliverable; deliverable reviewed by CAOs/APRIP OC

Feedback loop between subteam and stakeholders represented on APRIP OC

Implementation plan

BOT review and approval (if needed)

End

Feedback loop to larger University community